

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 NORA LUNA, et al.,

8 Plaintiff(s),

Case No. 2:17-CV-2666 JCM (GWF)

ORDER

9 v.

10 BARBARA CEGAVSKE, et al.,

11 Defendant(s).

12
13 Presently before the court is Magistrate Judge Foley's report and recommendation. (ECF
14 No. 51). No objections have been filed, and the deadline for filing objections has since passed.

15 This court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or
16 recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects
17 to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a de novo
18 determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made."
19 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

20 Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at
21 all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149
22 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a
23 magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See United*
24 *States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review
25 employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no
26 objections were made).

27 Plaintiffs have not objected to the report and recommendation. Nevertheless, this court
28 finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to determine whether to adopt the

1 recommendation of the magistrate judge. Upon reviewing the recommendation and underlying
2 briefs, the court finds that good cause appears to adopt the magistrate judge's findings.

3 Accordingly,

4 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Magistrate Judge
5 Foley's report and recommendation (ECF No. 51) be, and the same hereby is, ADOPTED in its
6 entirety.

7 DATED August 6, 2018.

8 
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE